Academic papers: Guidance and review criteria

Authors intending to present academic research of a theoretical or empirical nature during the R&D management conference 2024 in response to the call for papers should submit an extended abstract to the conference via the **website**.

Each author can submit a maximum of 2 extended abstracts.

Maximum **1 paper** presentation per registered attendee. Papers with no registered attendee by 2nd May will be removed from the conference program.

The authors whose extended abstracts are accepted following a process of peer review, will be invited to submit a full academic paper.

Academic extended abstract

Content: The extended abstract should succinctly convey the information about the contribution and the topic. Guiding headings which could be used include, among others:

- Context; research gap tackled; why it is important and for whom
- Summary of previous work in this area and extant gaps
- Research design: method(s) employed
- Findings: what are the main outcomes of the research?
- Implications for academics and practitioners

Length: free format 1000 words including references

Review criteria: The extended abstract will be peer reviewed and the reviewer will be encouraged to score the submission according to these criteria:

- 1. Does the paper make a worthwhile contribution to its academic field? Is its purpose or value clearly evident?
- 2. Have the authors acquainted themselves with the background and existing research relevant to the problem being investigated?
- 3. Is the methodology sound and adequate for the objectives of the paper?
- 4. Do the conclusions follow from the evidence provided in the abstract?
- 5. Is the presentation clear? Are tables, diagrams and examples used appropriately?

Academic full paper

Authors whose academic extended abstract has been accepted for the conference should submit a paper by 3rd May 2024.

Length: 2,500 – 4,000 words including references and tables.

Style format:

Papers should be submitted as a pdf document.

A paper must be preceded by a short abstract (150 - 200 words), which will clearly reveal the purpose, value and impact of the paper. It should state briefly why the work reported was done, how it was carried out, what are the most important conclusions and what are their implications for its field. The short abstract should be also submitted when you submit the full paper.

Reference style: Chicago 16th author-date. Examples below:

- Billoski, T.V. 1992. Introduction to Paleontology. 6th ed. New York: Institutional Press.
- Morehouse, S.I., and R.S. Tung. 1993. "Statistical evidence for early extinction of reptiles due to the K/T event." Journal of Paleontology 17 (2):198-209.
- Schwartz, M.T., and T.V. Billoski. 1990. "Greenhouse hypothesis: effect on dinosaur extinction." In Extinction, edited by B.T. Jones and N.V. Lovecraft, 175-189. New York: Barnes and Elli